

FIG. 2

= 0.34. The width Γ_1 of the p-wave differs then from the value of Γ introduced by Frazer and Fulco by a factor $\nu_r (\Gamma_1 = \nu_r \Gamma)$. This result coincides with the results of Serebryakov and Shirkov. It is seen from the curves that there exists a whole region of low cutoff ($\Lambda_{eff} = 9-15$), in which the Serebryakov-Shirkov solution with resonances in the A_0 and A_1 waves satisfies the Chew-Mandelstam equation. It is shown here that an account of the high-energy contributions (large Λ) changes radically the character of the solution. Apparently this limits the value of the cutoff of the left cut in the Chew-Mandelstam equation, if we wish to obtain a closed-form description of the low-energy scattering. It is interesting to note that the value of the parameter Λ coincides with the value of the cutoff parameter of the Chew-Mandelstam equation, which guarantees convergence of the expansion of the amplitude in Legendre polynomials. However, the question of the possibility of a closed-form description remains open. A probable experimental check of this question involves the question of the maximum of the width of the p-wave resonance.

Let us show how this maximum arises in the case of low cutoff. We consider the saturation of the A_0 wave on a large interval, i.e.,

$$\operatorname{Im} A_0(\nu) = \sqrt{(\nu+1)/\nu}, \quad 0 < \nu < \Lambda,$$

$$\operatorname{Im} A_0(\nu) = 0, \ \nu > \Lambda; \qquad \operatorname{Im} A_1(\nu) = \pi\Gamma_1\delta(\nu_r - \nu).$$

Condition (1) goes over when $\Lambda \gg 1$ into

$$\frac{\Gamma_1}{\nu_r} + \frac{3\Gamma_1}{\nu_r}\left[\frac{(4\Lambda + 2 - \nu_r)(\Lambda - \nu_r)}{\Lambda^2} - 2\ln\frac{\Lambda+1}{\nu_r+1}\right] - \frac{1}{3\pi}\left(1 + \frac{1}{\Lambda}\ln 4\Lambda\right) = 0. \qquad (3)$$

When $\lambda = 10-15$, we get $\Gamma_{max} = 0.43$, which corresponds to a bipion width of 43 MeV. No such maximum exists for large Λ.

Thus, if we confine ourselves to the low-energy region in the Chew-Mandelstam equation without subtraction, assuming that the contribution of the high-energy region is small, then the corresponding solution coincides with the Serebryakov-Shirkov solution for low-energy scattering.

In conclusion, I am deeply grateful to V. V. Serebryakov and D. V. Shirkov for formulating the problem and for continuous interest in it.

[1] V. V. Serebryakov and D. V. Shirkov, JETP 42, 610 (1962), Soviet Phys. JETP 15, 425 (1962).

[2] G. F. Chew and S. Mandelstam, Phys. Rev. 119, 467 (1960).

[3] B. H. Brandsen and I. W. Moffat, Nuovo cimento 21, 505 (1961).

[4] I. C. Taylor and T. N. Truong, The Low-energy Two-pion Problem, Preprint.

[5] I. S. Ball and D. Y. Wong, Phys. Rev. Lett. 7, 390 (1961).

[6] W. R. Frazer and I. R. Fulco, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2, 365 (1959).

Translated by J. G. Adashko
64

NONMETALLIC NICKEL AT HIGH COMPRESSIONS

G. M. GANDEL'MAN, V. M. ERMACHENKO, and Ya. B. ZEL'DOVICH

Submitted to JETP editor November 9, 1962

J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) 44, 386-387 (January, 1963)

It is well known that, beginning from a certain density, dielectrics necessarily become metals on compression (see the final paragraph of this letter). It is natural to expect, therefore, that metals would retain their metallic properties on compression. Up to now, as far as we know, no one has noted the fact that on compression a metal may be transformed into a dielectric within a certain range of densities. Our calculations suggest that this unusual behavior is exhibited by nickel. Calculations similar to those carried out by one of the present authors [1] indicate that, beginning from a density corresponding to the compression $\delta = 6.5$, i.e., from a density of 60 g/cm^3 (obtained at a pressure of 250×10^6 atm), nickel becomes an insulator.

The reason for this lies in the fact that an atom of nickel has 28 electrons, i.e., the number